

*Listed in order of appearance*

## Chapter 1. Evidence to Justify MRI Screening

### Risk Assessment Tools

BRACAPRO <http://bcb.dfc.harvard.edu/bayesmendel/brcapro.php>

Gail Model  
(National Cancer Institute) <http://www.cancer.gov/bcrisktool/>

GLOBOCAN <http://globocan.iarc.fr/Default.aspx>

University of Texas <http://www4.utsouthwestern.edu/breasthealth/genetics/privacy.htm>.

Carney PA, Miglioretti DL, Yankaskas BC. Individual and combined effects of age, breast density, and hormone replacement therapy use on the accuracy of screening mammography. *Ann Intern Med*. 2003 Feb 4;138(3):168-75.

Link: <http://annals.org/article.aspx?articleid=716007>

Etta D. Pisano, M.D., Constantine Gatsonis, et al. Diagnostic Performance of Digital versus Film Mammography for Breast-Cancer Screening. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353:1773-1783.

Link: <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa052911>

Porter PL, El-Bastawissi AY, Mandelson MT, et al. Breast Tumor Characteristics as Predictors of Mammographic Detection: Comparison of Interval- and Screen-Detected Cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1999 Dec 1;91(23):2020-2028.

Link: <http://jnci.oxfordjournals.org/content/91/23/2020.long>

Kuhl C, Weigel S, Schrading S, et. al. Prospective multicenter cohort study to refine management recommendations for women at elevated familial risk of breast cancer: the EVA trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010 Mar 20;28(9):1450-1457.

Link: <http://jco.ascopubs.org/content/28/9/1450.long>

Kuhl C, Weigel S, Schrading S, et. al. Prospective multicenter cohort study to refine management recommendations for women at elevated familial risk of breast cancer: the EVA trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010 Mar 20;28(9):1450-1457.

Link: <http://jco.ascopubs.org/content/28/9/1450.long>

Kaas R, Kroger R, Hendriks JH, et al. The significance of circumscribed malignant mammographic masses in the surveillance of BRCA 1/2 gene mutation carriers. *Eur Radiol*. 2004 Sep;14(9):1647-1653. Abstract: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=%22European+radiology%22%5BJour%5D+AND+2004%5Bpdat%5D+AND+Kaas%5Bauthor%5D&cmd=detailssearch>

Saslow D, Boetes C, Burke W, et al. American Cancer Society guidelines for breast screening with MRI as an adjunct to mammography. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2007 Mar-Apr;57(2):75-89.

Abstract: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17392385>

## Chapter 3. Interpretation Challenges

Kuhl CK, et al. Healthy premenopausal breast parenchyma in dynamic contrast enhanced MR imaging of the breast: Normal contrast medium enhancement and cyclical phase dependency. *Radiology* 1997; 203: 137-144

Link: [http://pubs.rsna.org/doi/abs/10.1148/radiology.203.1.9122382?url\\_ver=Z39.88-2003&rft\\_id=ori%3Arid%3Acrossref.org&rft\\_dat=cr\\_pub%3Dpubmed](http://pubs.rsna.org/doi/abs/10.1148/radiology.203.1.9122382?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rft_id=ori%3Arid%3Acrossref.org&rft_dat=cr_pub%3Dpubmed)

[Yamaguchi K](#), et al. Decision making for breast lesions initially detected at contrast-enhanced breast MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2013 Dec;201(6):1376-1385.

Link: <http://www.ajronline.org/doi/abs/10.2214/AJR.12.8953>

John EM, et al. Pre valence of pathogenic BRCA1 mutation carriers in 5 US racial/ethnic groups. *JAMA*. 2007 Dec 26 ;298( 24): 2869-76.

Abstract: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18159056>

[Baselga J](#), et al. Focus on breast cancer. *Cancer Cell*. 2002 May;1(4):319-22.

Link: none

Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature* 2000; 406:747-752.

Link: <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v406/n6797/full/406747a0.html>

Perou CM, Borresen-Dale AL. Systems biology and genomics of breast cancer. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology 2011; 3.

Link: <http://cshperspectives.cshlp.org/content/3/2/a003293.full>

Brenton JD, Carey LA, Ahmed AA, Caldas C. Molecular classification and molecular forecasting of breast cancer: ready for clinical application? *Journal of clinical oncology: American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2005; 23:7350-7360.

Link: <http://cshperspectives.cshlp.org/content/3/2/a003293.full>

Tamimi RM, Baer HJ, Marotti J, et al. Comparison of molecular phenotypes of ductal carcinoma in situ and invasive breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2008;10(4):R67.

[Schrading S](#), [Kuhl CK](#). Mammographic, US, and MR imaging phenotypes of familial breast cancer. *Radiology*. 2008 Jan;246(1):58-70.

Link: [http://pubs.rsna.org/doi/abs/10.1148/radiol.2461062173?url\\_ver=Z39.88-2003&rft\\_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rft\\_dat=cr\\_pub%3Dpubmed](http://pubs.rsna.org/doi/abs/10.1148/radiol.2461062173?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rft_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rft_dat=cr_pub%3Dpubmed)

[Sardanelli F](#), et al. Multicenter surveillance of women at high genetic breast cancer risk using mammography, ultrasonography, and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (the high breast cancer risk italian 1 study): final results. *Invest Radiol*. 2011 Feb;46(2):94-105.

Abstract: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21139507>

[Wernli KJ](#), et al. Patterns of breast magnetic resonance imaging use in community practice. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014 Jan;174(1):125-132.

Link: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3905972/>

## Chapter 4. Future Directions

Are You Dense? Available at: <http://areyoudense.org/>

[Kuhl CK](#), et al. Abbreviated breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): first postcontrast subtracted images and maximum-intensity projection-a novel approach to breast cancer screening with MRI. [J Clin Oncol](#). 2014 Aug 1;32(22):2304-2310.  
Abstract: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24958821>

Sprague BL, et al. Benefits, Harms, and Cost-Effectiveness of Supplemental Ultrasonography Screening for Women With Dense Breasts. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015;162(3):157-166.  
Link: <http://annals.org/article.aspx?articleID=2020458>

Berg WA, et al. Detection of breast cancer with addition of annual screening ultrasound or a single screening MRI to mammography in women with elevated breast cancer risk. *JAMA*. 2012;307(13):1394-1404.  
Abstract:  
[http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=%22JAMA%22\[Jour\]+AND+2012\[pdat\]+AND+Berg\[author\]&cmd=detailssearch](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=%22JAMA%22[Jour]+AND+2012[pdat]+AND+Berg[author]&cmd=detailssearch)

[Kuhl CK](#), et al. Abbreviated breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): first postcontrast subtracted images and maximum-intensity projection-a novel approach to breast cancer screening with MRI. [J Clin Oncol](#). 2014 Aug 1;32(22):2304-2310.  
Abstract: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24958821>

Kuhl CK, et al. Healthy premenopausal breast parenchyma in dynamic contrast enhanced MR imaging of the breast: Normal contrast medium enhancement and cyclical phase dependency. *Radiology* 1997; 203: 137-144  
Link: [http://pubs.rsna.org/doi/abs/10.1148/radiology.203.1.9122382?url\\_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr\\_id=ori%3Arid%3Acrossref.org&rfr\\_dat=cr\\_pub%3Dpubmed&](http://pubs.rsna.org/doi/abs/10.1148/radiology.203.1.9122382?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr_id=ori%3Arid%3Acrossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub%3Dpubmed&)

[Kuhl CK](#), et al. Abbreviated breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): first postcontrast subtracted images and maximum-intensity projection-a novel approach to breast cancer screening with MRI. [J Clin Oncol](#). 2014 Aug 1;32(22):2304-2310.  
Abstract: <http://jco.ascopubs.org/content/early/2014/06/23/JCO.2013.52.5386.abstract>

Saslow D, Boetes C, Burke W, et al. American Cancer Society guidelines for breast screening with MRI as an adjunct to mammography. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2007 Mar-Apr;57(2):75-89.  
Abstract: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17392385>

Breast Ultrasound Cost and Procedure Information. New Choice Health website.  
<http://www.newchoicehealth.com/procedures/breast-ultrasound>. Accessed March 3, 2015.

Seattle Cancer Care Alliance. Breast MRI (Both Breasts) Cost Comparison. New Choice Health website. <http://www.newchoicehealth.com/f/500138/seattle-cancer-care-alliance/breast-mri-both-breasts>. Accessed March 3, 2015.